

What bibliography says about women in STEM fields



Stefania-Maria Bakou

Introduction

“Only 17 women have won a Nobel Prize in physics, chemistry or medicine since Marie Curie in 1903, compared to 572 men. Today, only 28% of all of the world’s researchers are women. Such huge disparities, such deep inequality, do not happen by chance.

Too many girls are held back by discrimination, biases, social norms and expectations that influence the quality of education they receive and the subjects they study. Girls’ under-representation in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education is deep rooted and puts a detrimental brake on progress towards sustainable development. We need to understand the drivers behind this situation in order to reverse these trends ..., to improve the interest, engagement and achievement of girls in these fields.

Science, technology and innovation are also key to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): in how we address the impact of climate change, in how we increase food security, improve healthcare, manage limited freshwater resources and protect our biodiversity.

Girls and women are key players in crafting solutions to improve lives and generate inclusive green growth that benefits all. They are the greatest untapped population to become the next generations of STEM professionals – we must invest in their talent.... We need to stimulate interest from the earliest years, to combat stereotypes, to train teachers to encourage girls to pursue STEM careers, to develop curricula that are gender-sensitive, to mentor girls and young women and change mindsets”.

Irina Bokova, 2017

UNESCO Director General

Cracking the code: girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM)

Education 2030

Black sheep effect

According to Subjective Group Theory, people derogate socially undesirable in-group members (fraternities, sororities, etc) relative to out-group members. The former are characterized as deviant and they are considered and accused of giving an inappropriate or bad image of the in-group and jeopardize group's social identity and status. Individuals in a group tend to upgrade likeable/desirable in-group members and deviate from unlikeable/undesirable group members, making them a separate out-group.

As a result, concerning highly qualified members of the in-group, the rest are more likely to evaluate them more favorably than the less qualified. However, when an in-group member is average or less qualified is likely to be evaluated much lower than out-group members with the same or equivalent qualifications. In the case of women in stem fields, established women is more likely (than established men) to help early career women who display sufficient qualifications, but on the contrary, is less likely (than men) to help early career women who display insufficient qualifications

Eidelman, S., & Biernat, M. (2003). Derogating black sheep: Individual or group protection? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 39(6), 602–609
Doi: 10.1016/s0022-1031(03)00042-8

Kerr, N.L., Hymes, R.W., Anderson, A.B., Weathers, J.E. (1995). Defendant-juror similarity and mock juror judgments. *Law and Human Behavior*, 19(6), 545–567
Doi: 10.1007/bf01499374

Marques, J.M., Yzerbyt, V.Y., Leyens, J.Ph. (1988). The black sheep effect: Judgmental extremity towards ingroup members as a function of ingroup identification. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 18(1), 1–16
Doi: 10.1002/ejsp.2420180102

Marques, J., Abrams, D., & Serodio, R.G. (2001). Being better by being right: Subjective group dynamics and derogation of in-group deviants when generic norms are undermined. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(3), 436–447
Doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.81.3.436

Pinto, I.R., Marques, J. M. & Abrams, D. (2010). Membership status and subjective group dynamics: Who triggers the black sheep effect? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 99(1), 107–119
Doi: 10.1037/a0018187

Pinto, I.R., Marques, J.M., Levine, J.M., & Abrams, D. (2016). Membership role and subjective group dynamics: Impact on evaluative intragroup differentiation and commitment to prescriptive norms. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 19(5), 570–590
Doi: 10.1177/1368430216638531

Taylor, T.S., & Hosch, H.M. (2004). An examination of jury verdicts for evidence of a similarity-leniency effect, an out-group punitiveness effect or a black sheep effect. *Law and Human Behavior*, 28(5), 587–598

Doi: 10.1023/b:lahu.0000046436.36228.71

Comparative advantage

Women are considered to have a high or superior performance in language, reading and (generally) linguistic tasks, so even if their performance in mathematics has not a large deviation from man's performance, their preferences and academic engagement and, as a result, their upcoming, future professional and career options and choices are more likely to be towards humanities-related field

Breda, T., & Napp, C. (2019). *Girls' comparative advantage in reading can largely explain the gender gap in math-related fields*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *116*(31), pp. 15435–15440

Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1905779116

Marsh, H. W., & Hau, K.T. (2004). Explaining paradoxical relations between academic self-concepts and achievements: Cross-cultural generalizability of the internal/external frame of reference prediction across 26 countries. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *96*(1), 56–67

Doi: 10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.56

Nagy, G., Garrett, J., Trautwein, U., Cortina K.S. (2008). Gendered high school course selection as a precursor of gendered careers: The mediating role of self-concept and intrinsic value. In, H. M. G. Watt, J. S. Eccles (Eds), *Gender and occupational outcomes: Longitudinal Assessments of individual, social, and cultural influences* (pp. 115–143). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association

Doi: 10.1037/11706-004

Riegle-Crumb, C., King, B., Grodsky, E., Muller, C. (2012). The more things change, the more they stay the same? Prior achievement fails to explain gender inequality in entry into STEM college majors over time. *American Educational Research Journal*, *49*(6), 1048–1073

Doi: 10.3102/0002831211435229

Discriminations

Women in STEM fields is detected to be more likely to experience discriminations and sidelines in their workplaces due to their gender. This may concern their rewards for the same job and the same qualifications (biased evaluation) or overt and covert barriers to their success and professional evolution or, the worst, may lead to being mocked or insulted because of their gender. Studies indicate that evidences of discriminations against female candidates (and ethnic minorities as well) are spotted when applications for administrative or positions concerning consulting and managing in STEM fields are being processed. But, sometimes, the observed underrepresentation of women in the STEM fields and/or professional ranks is not attributed solely to sexist hiring, promotion, and remuneration

Ceci, S. J., Ginther, D. K., Kahn, S., Williams, W. M. (2014). Women in academic science: a changing landscape. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 15(3), 75–141
Doi: 10.1177/1529100614541236

Correll, S.J. (2001). Gender and the career choice process: The role of biased self-assessments. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(6), 1691–1730
Doi: 10.1086/321299

Funk, C., & Parker, K. (2018, 9 Jan.). Women and men in STEM often at odds over workplace equity. Pew Research Center’s Social & Demographic Trends Project.
<https://tinyurl.com/2rpyv2dy>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Milkman, K.L., Akinola, M., & Chugh, D. (2012). Temporal distance and discrimination. *Psychological Science*, 23(7), 710–717
Doi: 10.1177/0956797611434539

Moss-Racusin, C.A., Dovidio, J.F., Brescoll, V.L., Graham, M., Handelsman, J. (2012). *Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109(41), 16474–16479
Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1211286109

Schiebinger, L. (2000). Has feminism changed science ?. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 25(4), 1171–1175
Doi: 10.1086/495540

Swim, J., Borgida, E., Maruyama, G., Myers, D.G. (1989). Joan McKay versus John McKay: Do gender stereotypes bias evaluations? *Psychological Bulletin*, 105(3), 409–429
Doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.105.3.409

Stereotypes

Stereotypes in STEM fields concern how someone should look, act and behave in these fields. In many cases the established members of these fields may devalue, overlook, and in such a way, “penalize” individuals (like women) who are equally highly competent. Additionally, this behavior discourages women from engaging or continuing in STEM fields. This sounds quite rational since the stereotypical professional in STEM fields is usually thought to be male (few exceptions, like Medicine, are mentioned) and, according to the Role Congruity Theory of Prejudice, the perceived incongruity between gender and a particular role or occupation can result in negative perceptions and consequent evaluations. The stereotypic consideration is that men is expected to possess and display agentic qualities and characteristics, while women display communal ones, usually negatively related to professional or/and academic career (Social Role Theory).

Even in cases where men encounter discriminations due to their engagement in non-traditional occupations or female occupations, the forms and the consequences are distinctly different. The stereotypes do not seem to deter women to the same degree that these may deter men from pursuing non-traditional professions. Paradoxically, men may even experience certain benefits and enjoy profits in female-dominated occupations. It is described as women hit a “glass ceiling” that prevents them from reaching the top in a male-dominated occupation, while men hit a “glass escalator” that facilitates them to accede and excel in a female-dominated occupation.

Correll, S.J. (2004). Constraints into Preferences: Gender, status, and emerging career aspirations. *American Sociological Review*, 69(1), 113 - 93

Doi: 10.1177/000312240406900106

Deaux, K., & Lewis, L.L. (1984). Structure of gender stereotypes: Interrelationships among components and gender label. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46(5), 991–1004

Doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.46.5.991

Eagly, A.H., & Wood, W. (1991). Explaining Sex Differences in Social Behavior: A Meta-Analytic Perspective. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 17(3), 306–315

Doi: 10.1177/0146167291173011

Eagly, A.H., & Karau, S.J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. *Psychological Review*, 109(3), 573–598

Doi: 10.1037/0033-295x.109.3.573

Ehrenreich, B., & English, D. (2005). *For her own good: Two centuries of the experts' advice to women* (2nd Edition). New York: Anchor Books

<https://tinyurl.com/2p8u6scr>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Garcia-Retamero, R., & Lopez-Zafra, E. (2006). Prejudice against women in male-congenial environments: perceptions of gender role congruity in leadership. *Sex Roles*, 55(1–2), 51–61

Doi: 10.1007/s11199-006-9068-1

Gaucher, D., Friesen, J., Kay, A.C. (2011). Evidence that gendered wording in job advertisements exists and sustains gender inequality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(1), 109–128
Doi: 10.1037/a0022530

Good, J.J., Woodzicka, J.A., Wingfield, L.C. (2010). The Effects of gender stereotypic and counter-stereotypic textbook images on science performance. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 150(2), 132–147
Doi: 10.1080/00224540903366552

Legewie, J., & DiPrete, T. A. (2014). The High School environment and the gender gap in science and engineering. *Sociology of Education*, 87(4), 259-280
Doi: 10.1177/0038040714547770

Lyness, K.S., & Heilman, M.E. (2006). When fit is fundamental: Performance evaluations and promotions of upper-level female and male managers. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(4), 777–785
Doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.91.4.777

Madera, J.M., Hebl, M.R., Martin, R.C. (2009). Gender and letters of recommendation for academia: Agentic and communal differences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(6), 1591–1599
Doi: 10.1037/a0016539

Miyake, A., Kost-Smith, L.E., Finkelstein, N.D., Pollock, S.J., Cohen, G.L., Ito, T.A. (2010). Reducing the gender achievement gap in college science: A classroom study of values affirmation. *Science*, 330(6008), 1234–1237
Doi: 10.1126/science.1195996

Ritter, B.A., & Yoder, J.D. (2004). Gender differences in leader emergence persist even for dominant women: An updated confirmation of Role Congruity Theory. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 28(3), 187–193
Doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2004.00135.x

Reuben, E., Sapienza, P., Zingales, L. (2014). *How stereotypes impair women's careers in science*. In A.G.Greenwald (Ed.), *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1314788111

Williams, C. (1992). The Glass Escalator: Hidden Advantages for Men in the “Female” Professions. *Social Problems*, 39(3), 253–267
Doi: 10.2307/3096961

Stereotype threats

Stereotype threats spring from and are supported by the fear that ones' actions will indicate a negative sign or threaten the stereotype of the group in which belongs (in-group stereotypes). Fear creates additional stress, consumes valuable cognitive resources, rises susceptibility and underestimation of abilities during assessment, influences interest and undermines task performance in a general or in a specific academic domain (STEM fields), by lowering them.

It is mentioned that, even individuals who enjoy strong identification with a certain field, are more likely to have their performance in that area hampered by stereotype threats than those who identify less strongly with the area. This means that even highly motivated students from negatively stereotyped groups are likely to be adversely affected by stereotype threats and thus, may end up in disengagement from the stereotyped domain. On the contrary, gender differences in performance disappear if students are treated like there are and mentioned no gender differences in that. This indicates that the learning environment can greatly impact success in a course or even a field.

Nonetheless, stereotype threats has been criticized and the relevant researches' findings are attributed to the publication bias: in case of a possible publication, notification and distribution of the findings of a research, there is a tendency in favor of positive and not of negative results, something which disturbs their balance and validity.

Caramillo J. (2021, 9 Aug.). How can women overcome Imposter Syndrome in the tech industry? Austin Technology Council (ATC)
<https://tinyurl.com/5n6ufck8>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Ceci, S. J., Ginther, D. K., Kahn, S., Williams, W. M. (2014). Women in academic science: a changing landscape. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 15(3), 75–141
Doi: 10.1177/1529100614541236

Chipman, S. (1992). Mathematics anxiety and science careers among able college women. *Psychological Science*, 3(5), 292–295
Doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.1992.tb00675.x.

Ellis, J., Fosdick, B.K., Rasmussen, C. (2016). Women 1.5 times more likely to leave STEM pipeline after calculus compared to men: Lack of mathematical confidence a potential culprit. *PLOS ONE*, 11(7), 1–14
Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157447

Kelly, S. (2017, 6 Dec.). For girls in STEM, belonging, not brain structure, makes the difference. Huffington Post
<https://tinyurl.com/y8hv64dj>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Schmader, T., & Johns, M. (2003). Converging evidence that stereotype threat reduces working memory capacity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(3), 440–452
Doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.85.3.440

Spencer, S.J., Steele, C.M., Quinn, D.M. (1999). Stereotype threat and women's math performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 35(1), 4–28

Doi: 10.1006/jesp.1998.1373

Steele, C.M., & Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype Threat and the intellectual test performance of african americans. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(5), 797–811

Doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.797

Steele, C.M., Spencer, S.J., Aronson, J. (2002). Contending with group image: The psychology of stereotype and social identity threat. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 34, 379–440

Doi: 10.1016/s0065-2601(02)80009-0

Steinberg, J., Okun M.A., Aiken L.S (2012). Calculus GPA and math identification as moderators of stereotype threat in highly persistent women. *Basic & Applied Social Psychology*, 34(6), 534–543

Doi: 10.1080/01973533.2012.727319

Stoet, G., & Geary, D.C. (2012). Can stereotype threat explain the gender gap in mathematics performance and achievement? *Review of General Psychology*, 16(1), 93–102

Doi: 10.1037/a0026617

Fryer, R. G., Levitt, S. D., List, J. A. (2008). Exploring the impact of financial incentives on stereotype threat: Evidence from a pilot Study. *American Economic Review*, 98(2), 370–375

Doi: 10.1257/aer.98.2.370

Yong, Ed (2016, 9 Sept.). A worrying trend for psychology's “simple little tricks”. The Atlantic <https://tinyurl.com/ydmw7263>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Ganley, C.M., Mingle, L.A., Ryan, A.M., Ryan, K., Vasilyeva, M., Perry, M. (2013). An examination of stereotype threat effects on girls' mathematics performance. *Developmental Psychology*, 49(10), 1886–1897

Doi: 10.1037/a0031412

Flore, P.C., & Wicherts, J.M. (2014). Does stereotype threat influence performance of girls in stereotyped domains? A meta-analysis. *Journal of School Psychology*, 53(1), 25–44

Doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2014.10.002

Clustering and leaky pipeline

In the 1980s, Margaret Rossiter focusing on the history of women in American science, noticed that women are more likely to choose, study, teach and do research in the humanities and social sciences than in the STEM fields. This was described as a “clustering” around specific fields known as “territorial segregation” or “occupational segregation”. It’s about the distribution of workers across and within occupations based upon specific characteristics (gender, race,...), while the value or the prestige of their jobs are not taken into account.

This usually results in a “hierarchical segregation” of women in the lower hierarchical levels of the STEM fields. This could be better described as “leaky pipeline”, which drives women dropping out of those fields at all levels of their career. This leakage may be due to, both overt and covert, discriminations or stereotypes concerning the role of women in academic or professional sectors or even within family. That keeps women from rising to the upper ranks regardless their qualifications or achievements. However, data and analyses from contemporary researches show that the state of women in STEM fields is changing. Women seem to enjoy sizable successes and gains in those fields as well as in the corresponding professional ones.

Baldwin, M., Butler, R., & Johnson, W. (2007). A hierarchical theory of occupational segregation and wage discrimination. *Economic Inquiry*, 39(1), 94–110
doi:10.1111/j.1465-7295.2001.tb00053.x

Brown, R., Brown, J., Reardon, K., Merrill, C. (2011). Understanding STEM: Current perceptions. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 70(6), 5–9
<https://tinyurl.com/yc6j8kye>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Inside Higher Ed (2015). A booklet on “The STEM Pipeline”. USA, Washington, DC
<https://tinyurl.com/yxp9w4be>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Inside Higher Ed (2015). A webinar on “The Stem Pipeline”. USA, Washington, DC
<https://tinyurl.com/2p92truf>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Institute for Higher Education Policy (IHEP), (2008). Diversifying the STEM pipeline: The Model Replication Institutions Program
<https://tinyurl.com/2p9adhvb>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES), Institute of Educational Sciences (IES) (2016). Digest of Educational Statistics 2015 (51st Edition). USA, Washington, DC
<https://tinyurl.com/477eccty>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES) (2020). Survey of earned doctorates. Tables 6, 14, 16, 29, 43, 45, 52 54, 55 up to 71. USA, Alexandria, VA: National Science Foundation
<https://tinyurl.com/45r95e9r>. Retrieved 15 February 2020

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2014). Who earns bachelor’s degrees in science and engineering? STEM Education Data
<https://tinyurl.com/2p97mere>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2014). Who is working in engineering fields? STEM Education Data
<https://tinyurl.com/2p8wmdza>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2014). Who earns degrees in engineering, and in what subfields? STEM Education Data
<https://tinyurl.com/57vdxapk>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2014). Higher Education in Science and Engineering (Chapter 2)
<https://tinyurl.com/5n7pxamy>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Pell, A.N. (1996). Fixing the leaky pipeline: Women scientists in academia. *Journal of Animal Science*, 74(11), 2843–2848
Doi: 10.2527/1996.74112843x

Ruchika, T. (2010, 2 March). Top 10 college majors for women. *Forbes*
<https://tinyurl.com/hrjxrnb>. Retrieved 15 February 2020

Schiebinger, L. (1999). Has feminism changed science? *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 25(4), 1171–5
Doi:10.1086/495540

Shatnawi, D., Oaxaca, R., Ransom, M. (2011). Applying fixed effects to hierarchical segregation models. *American Economic Review*, 101(3), 588-92
Doi: 10.1257/aer.101.3.588

Waldrop, M.M. (2016). Why we are teaching science wrong, and how to make it right. *Nature*, 523(7560), 272–274
Doi: 10.1038/523272a

Harassment

Women scientists is more likely to be targeted and harassed by their seniors and superiors in their working place, than their male peers. This harassment varies from inappropriate sexual comments to unwanted sexual conducts and assaults. Women also protest of unsatisfied outcomes when reporting their experiences and complaints. Harassment is reported to impacts negatively their personal safety, self-esteem and professional productivity, even driving women leaving science careers. On the contrary, actively enforced anti-harassment policies in their field work is associated with positive fieldwork experiences where female scientists feel safe, valued and equal.

Adler W.K. & McHenry T. (2017, 9 December). Female scientists report a horrifying culture of sexual assault. *Marie Claire*
<https://tinyurl.com/2p894m7x>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Chen, S. (2015). Astronomy Allies Team Up to Confront Sexual Harassment. *American Physics Society (APS) News*
<https://tinyurl.com/yckt5m6j>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Clancy, K. (2013, 13 April). Context and Variation. I had no power to say that's not okay: Reports of harassment and abuse in the field. *Scientific American*
<https://tinyurl.com/4hb43z93>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Clancy, K.B.H., Nelson, R.G., Rutherford, J.N., Hinde, K. (2014). Survey of Academic Field Experiences (SAFE): Trainees Report Harassment and Assault. *PLOS ONE* 9(7), e102172
<https://tinyurl.com/yr5v7v5x>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Clancy, K.B.H. (2018, 27 Feb.). A review of sexual harassment and misconduct in science: Written testimony
<https://tinyurl.com/yc3nmr83>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Congresswoman Jackie Speier (2016, 21 Sept.). Congresswoman Jackie Speier introduces bill to stop rampant sexual abuse, Harassment in STEM Research. *Press Releases*
<https://tinyurl.com/393wavn6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Ganim, S. (2016, 1 Oct.). Sexual harassment in STEM: 'It's tragic for society. *CNN*
<https://tinyurl.com/pzaxezyj>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Gewin, V. (2015). Social behaviour: Indecent advances. *Nature*, 519(7542), 251–253
Doi: 10.1038/nj7542-251a

Meg, U. (2015, 14 Oct.). How to End Sexual Harassment in Astronomy. *Scientific American*.
<https://tinyurl.com/2p8ucdtm>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Nelson, R. G., Rutherford, J. N., Hinde, K., & Clancy, K. B. H. (2017). Signaling Safety: Characterizing Fieldwork Experiences and Their Implications for Career Trajectories. *American Anthropologist*, 119(4), 710–722
Doi: 10.1111/aman.12929

Schiebinger, L. (1999). Has feminism changed science? *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 25(4), 1171–5
Doi: 10.1086/495540

Subcommittee on Research and Technology Hearing. A Review of sexual harassment and misconduct in science. Committee on Science, Space, and Technology (2018)
<https://tinyurl.com/a8t3phv6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. (2014). Sexual harassment, assault common on scientific field studies, survey indicates. ScienceDaily
<https://tinyurl.com/fj8xrpw8>. Retrieved 15 February 2020

Williams J.C. & Massinger K. (2016, 25 July). How women are harassed out of science. The Atlantic
<https://tinyurl.com/3kyw9dh5>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Witze, A. (2018, 15 Feb.). US science agency will require universities to report sexual harassment. *Nature*, 554(7692), 287–288
doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-01744-5

Witze, A. (2018, 15 June). Sexual harassment is rife in the sciences, finds landmark US study. *Nature*, 558, 352–353
<https://tinyurl.com/3r38b2xd>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Queen Bee Effect

The Queen Bee effect is similar to the Black Sheep effect applying only to women. The phenomenon, first defined by Tavis C, Staines G.L and Jayaratne T.E. in 1973, explains why higher-status women, particularly in male-dominated professions, may actually be far less likely to help other women than their male colleagues might be. These women, satisfying their need for self-preservation, distance themselves from other, subordinate women and treat them more critically or even hinder them from rising up the ranks. As a result, female faculty members at universities may believe more strongly than male ones do, that female students are less competent, committed or satisfied with their work.

A potential negative impact of these beliefs and subsequent attitudes and behavior is that “queen bees” do not perceive their success as evidence of negative stereotypes about women, but rather as a proof that they personally are successful exceptions to the rule, perpetuating this way the negative stereotypes.

On the other hand, recent research strongly questions and contests the existence of the phenomenon because of bias (eliciting of confirming cases or localization of endogeneity issues).

Arvate, P.R., Galilea, G.W., Todescat, I. (2018). The Queen Bee: A myth? The effect of top-level female leadership on subordinate females. *The Leadership Quarterly*
Doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.03.002

Blau, F., & DeVaro, J. (2006). New Evidence on Gender Difference in Promotion Rates: An Empirical Analysis of a Sample of New Hires. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge, MA.
Doi:10.3386/w12321

Cooper, V.W. (1997). Homophily or the Queen Bee Syndrome. *Small Group Research*, 28(4), 483–499
Doi: 10.1177/1046496497284001

Derks, B., Ellemers, N., Van Laar, C., De Groot, K. (2011). Do sexist organizational cultures create the Queen Bee? *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 50(3), 519–535
doi:10.1348/014466610X525280

Ellemers, N., Van den Heuvel, H., de Gilder, D., Maass, A., Bonvini, A. (2004). The underrepresentation of women in science: Differential commitment or the Queen Bee syndrome? *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 43(3), 315–338
Doi: 10.1348/0144666042037999

Gomstyn, A. (2016, 10 November). Queen Bee Syndrome, Dethroned. Credit Suisse
<https://tinyurl.com/yckcby7a>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Groskop, V. (2015, 8 June). Queen Bee syndrome: the myth that keeps working women in their little box. *the Guardian*

<https://tinyurl.com/yc8h6nck>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Jones, K. (2016, 7 March). A plea to Queen Bees in the academy: It's time to support other women. British Educational Leadership, Management and Administration Society (BELMAS)
<https://tinyurl.com/t3fvfe43en!> Retrieved 15 February 2022

Mavin, S. (2006). Venus envy: Problematizing solidarity behavior and Queen Bees. *Women in Management Review*, 21(4), 264–276
Doi: 10.1108/09649420610666579

Reality Check Team (2018, 4 January). Queen Bees: Do women hinder the progress of other women? BNC News
<https://tinyurl.com/yck88tp2>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Understanding and avoiding the Queen Bee Phenomenon. Michigan Organization on Adolescent Sexual Health (MOASH)
<https://tinyurl.com/2p9hxdva>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lack of Confidence

The lack of confidence, on behalf of female students on their capabilities to the academic subjects consisting the STEM field, noticed at all levels of education, is a factor that supports and feeds the leaking pipeline phenomenon. It is attributed to biased, unqualified, ineffective and non-supportive teachers who create an unbalanced learning environment and deter girls from even engaging with STEM education or pursuing further education on that.

Male students are treated differently than their female peers: they enjoy more and more frequent opportunities while working at school with STEM or even higher expectations are attributed to them. The exact opposite treatment of female students leads to lowering or a loss of their self-esteem in STEM fields, preventing them from entering those fields and pursuing relevant professional or/and academic careers (Imposter Syndrome impacts).

Chipman, S. (1992). Mathematics Anxiety and Science Careers Among Able College Women. *Psychological Science*, 3(5), 292–295
Doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.1992.tb00675.x

Ellis, J., Fosdick, B.K., Rasmussen, C. (2016). Women 1.5 times more likely to leave STEM pipeline after calculus compared to men: Lack of mathematical confidence a potential culprit. *PLOS ONE*, 11(7), 1–14
Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157447

Elstad, E., & Turmo, A. (2009). The Influence of the teacher's sex on high school students' engagement and achievement in Science. *International Journal of Gender, Science and Technology*, 1(1)
<https://tinyurl.com/3xejed8>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Interview of Grace Chen CEO and co-founder at Common Networks (2020, 15 February). How Can Women Overcome Imposter Syndrome in the Tech Industry? Forbes
<https://tinyurl.com/2p8psxyh>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Johnson, A.C. (2007). Unintended consequences: How science professors discourage women of color. *Science Education* 91(5), 805–821
Doi: 10.1002/sce.20208

Keller, C. (2001). Effect of teachers' stereotyping on students' stereotyping of mathematics as a male domain. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 141(2), 165–73
Doi: 10.1080/00224540109600544

Kelly, S. (2017). For girls in STEM, belonging, not brain structure, makes the difference. Huffington Post
<https://tinyurl.com/y8hv64dj>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lips, H.M. (2008). *Sex & gender: An introduction*. Boston: McGraw-Hill/Higher Education
<https://tinyurl.com/5dnnyt2t>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lohbeck, A., Grube, D., Moschner, B. (2017). Academic self-concept and causal attributions for success and failure amongst elementary school children. *International Journal of Early Years Education*, 25(2), 190–203
Doi: 10.1080/09669760.2017.1301806

Pell, A.N. (1996). Fixing the leaky pipeline: Women scientists in academia. *Journal of Animal Science*, 74(11), 2843–2848
Doi: 10.2527/1996.74112843x

Schiebinger, L. (2000). Has feminism changed science ? *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 25(4), 1171–1175
Doi: 10.1086/495540

Steinberg, J. (2012). Calculus GPA and Math Identification as Moderators of Stereotype Threat in Highly Persistent Women. *Basic & Applied Social Psychology*, 34(6): 534–543
Doi: 10.1080/01973533.2012.727319

Tulshyan, R. & Burey J-A. (2021, 21 February). Diversity and Inclusion: Stop telling women they have imposter syndrome. Harvard Business Review
<https://tinyurl.com/upjbbf64>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), (2017). Cracking the code: girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). Education 2030
<https://tinyurl.com/m5tpdmfu>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lack of Interest

Studies researching men and women interests, show that men have more realistic and investigative interests connected close to STEM fields, while women have stronger artistic and social ones concerning humanities. Women also found to believe that studies in out-of-STEM fields match better with their interests and offer better educational and professional options for them. These perceptions switches women to non-STEM areas, even in cases of women with equal or higher corresponding abilities than their male peers.

According to these studies, the conclusions apply and are even more intensified to more “gender-equal” or egalitarian countries. This seems to be a paradox, named after “the gender-equality paradox”. An explanation that has been proposed is that, more stereotypes and gendered expectations are spotted in more gender equal countries, while women in less developed or equal countries are more likely to choose STEM fields, based on the increased need for security and good pay. Others believe that deeply rooted and intrinsic gender differences are less restrained and emerge more easily in gender equal countries.

But these conclusions are challenged and the researching methods are accused of poor accuracy of the variables used, while the findings are accused of lack of validation. Independent researchers were unable to recreate the data reported and the discovered discrepancies were attributed to conceptual and empirical problems of the studies.

Corrigendum: The Gender-Equality Paradox in STEM education. *Psychological Science*, 095679761989289

Doi: 10.1177/0956797619892892

Falk, A., & Hermle, J. (2018). Relationship of gender differences in preferences to economic development and gender equality. *Science*, 362(6412)

Doi: 10.1126/science.aas9899

Fors Connolly, F., Goossen, M., Hjern, M. (2020). Does gender equality cause gender differences in values? Reassessing the Gender-Equality-Personality Paradox. *Sex Roles*, 83(1), 101–113

Doi: 10.1007/s11199-019-01097-x

Hango, D. (2013). Ability in mathematics and science at age 15 and program choice in university: Differences by gender. Culture, Tourism and the Centre for Education Statistics. Canada, Ottawa

<https://tinyurl.com/4b4zpnr8>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Khazan, O. (2018, 18 February). The more gender equality, the fewer women in STEM. *The Atlantic*

<https://tinyurl.com/26mms4vc>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lee, S.M. (2020, 14 February). A controversial study claimed to explain why women don't go into science and tech. It just got A 1,113-Word Correction. *BuzzFeed News*

<https://tinyurl.com/4c7sa7fp>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Perry, P. (2018, 1 March). Gender equality paradox: fewer women in developed nations go after STEM degrees. *Culture and Religion*
<https://tinyurl.com/2p95y588>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Preston, A.E. (2004). *Leaving science: Occupational exit from scientific careers*. Russell Sage Foundation. New York: Russell Sage Foundation
<https://tinyurl.com/4ftecmsn>. Retrieved 14 February 2022

Richardson, S.S. & Reiches M. (2020, 11 February). We dug into data to disprove a myth about women in STEM. *Slate Magazine*
<https://tinyurl.com/2632vz8e>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Richardson, S.S., Reiches, M.W., Bruch, J., Boulicault, M., Noll, N.E., Shattuck-Heidorn, H. (2020). Is there a Gender-Equality Paradox in STEM? Commentary on the study by Stoet and Geary (2018). *Psychological Science*, 31(3), 338–341
Doi: 10.1177/0956797619872762

Schmitt D.P. (2015, 10 April). Are women more emotional than men? *Psychology Today*
<https://tinyurl.com/387tcssb>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Seymour, E. & Hewitt, M. (1997). *Talking about leaving : Why undergraduates leave the sciences*. USA, Colorado: Westview Press
<https://tinyurl.com/2p82fkwh>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Stoet, G., & Geary, D.C. (2018). The gender-equality paradox in STEM education. *Psychological Science*, 29(4), 581–593
Doi: 10.1177/0956797617741719

Su, R., Rounds, J., Armstrong, P. (2009). Men and things, women and people: A Meta-Analysis of Sex differences in interests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(6), 859–884
Doi: 10.1037/a0017364

Thomas, B., Elyès, J., Napp, C., Thebault, G. (2020). *Gender stereotypes can explain the gender-equality paradox*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 202008704
Doi: 10.1073/pnas.2008704117

Willingham, E. (2018, 19 October). When times are good, the gender gap grows. *Scientific American*
<https://tinyurl.com/yckrv2eb>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lack of Mentorship

Empirical data and research findings show that, in the STEM fields, the support and encouragement of a mentor can make a lot of difference in younger women's struggle to overcome the obstacles they encounter. Women indicate that can be decisively guided and helped in their decisions of whether or not to continue pursuing a career or/and which career, by seniors, experienced or established mentors.

However, the supportive presence of a mentor (formal or informal) is not an easy thing to happen. Most of women appreciate the crucial role of a mentor and look for a helpful mentorship from studies to workplace, but they mention a lack in relevant opportunities or resources in their working environment. Additionally, women experience harassment incidents from their male mentors making the whole issue a disappointing one.

Askcraft, C., Mc Lain B., Eger., E. (2020). Women in tech: The facts. Workforce Alliance <https://tinyurl.com/me22ubpc>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Charles, M., & Thébaud, S. (eds) (2018). *Gender and STEM: Understanding segregation in STEM*. Social Sciences. Switzerland, Basel: MDPI <https://tinyurl.com/bdet43fs>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Griffith, A.L. (2010). Persistence of women and minorities in STEM field majors: Is it the school that matters? *Economics of Education Review*, 29(6), 911–922
Doi: 10.1016/j.econedurev.2010.06.010

Saffie-Robertson, M.C. (2020). It's not you, it's me: An exploration of mentoring experiences for women in STEM, *Sex Roles*, 83(9-10), 566–579
Doi: 10.1007/s11199-020-01129-x

Sonnert, G., Fox, M.F., Adkins, K. (2007). Undergraduate women in science and engineering: Effects of faculty, fields, and institutions over time. *Social Science Quarterly*, 88(5), 1333–1356
Doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6237.2007.00505.x

Stout, J.G., Dasgupta, N., Hunsinger, M., McManus, M.A. (2011). STEMing the tide: Using ingroup experts to inoculate women's self-concept in STEM. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 100(2), 255–270
Doi: 10.1037/a0021385

Lack of Role Models

The low representation of women in STEM fields is attributed, among others, to the projected negative school or social role models, concerning female students and adult women, associated with their capabilities, interests and future option to those fields. On the other hand, the introduction of positive role models is proposed as a method for alleviating stereotype threat, as well as for retaining women in STEM fields: presentation and conduct with women and female teachers enjoying successful career and high status in STEM fields, cooperation and team work with other female peers or even interventions and corrections in text books and their accompanying images.

Askcraft, C., Mc Lain B., Eger., E. (2020). Women in tech: The facts. Workforce Alliance <https://tinyurl.com/me22ubpc>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Charles, M., & Thébaud, S. (eds) (2018). *Gender and STEM: Understanding segregation in STEM*. Social Sciences. Switzerland, Basel: MDPI <https://tinyurl.com/bdet43fs>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Cheryan, S., Siy, J.O., Vichayapai, M., Drury, B.J., Kim, S. (2011). Do female and male role models who embody STEM stereotypes hinder women's anticipated success in STEM? *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 2(6), 656–664
Doi: 10.1177/1948550611405218

Drury, B.J., Siy, J.O., Cheryan, S. (2011). When Do Female Role Models Benefit Women? The importance of differentiating recruitment from retention in STEM. *Psychological Inquiry*, 22(4), 265–269
Doi: 10.1080/1047840x.2011.620935

Good, J.J., Woodzicka, J.A., Wingfield, L.C. (2010). The Effects of Gender Stereotypic and Counter-Stereotypic Textbook Images on Science Performance. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 150(2), 132–147
Doi:10.1080/00224540903366552

Huguet, P., & Regner, I. (2007). Stereotype threat among schoolgirls in quasi-ordinary classroom circumstances. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(3), 545–560
Doi: 10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.545

Marx, D.M., & Roman, J.S. (2002). Female role models: Protecting women's math performance. *Personality and Social Bulletin*, 28(9), 1183–1193
Doi: 10.1177/01461672022812004

Page, L. (2009, 15 December). Ladies put off careers by sci-fi posters, Coke cans. The Register <https://tinyurl.com/274ccmmp>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Page, L. (2013, 27 June). Boffin's claim: I have found how to get girls into tech. The Register <https://tinyurl.com/2p9nh6c4>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Pell, A.N. (1996). Fixing the leaky pipeline: Women scientists in academia. *Journal of Animal Science*, 74(11), 2843–2848
Doi: 10.2527/1996.74112843x

Sadek, R.A. (W.R. Stone, editor) (2019). Reflections on a career in radio science in Egypt. *Radio Science Bulletin (URSI)*, 2019(370)
<https://tinyurl.com/yeyuavt8>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Lack of Support

Women who work in STEM fields (and generally) are more likely to be at risk of leaving, due to gender discriminations and lack of support: inflexible working conditions, which make their everyday demanding family and social life more difficult, a possible pregnancy and the subsequent maternity, etc. Since women are paid less in their careers, when a classical male-female family cannot afford child care, it is the mother that leaves work and career in order to stay at home with the children. For alleviating the negative consequences, supporting measures must be deployed by states and generous provisions must be offered by employers: gender-friendly or friendly-responsive policy frameworks (children facilities at the workplace, re-entry programs after taking a break to start a family etc.

In order to modify perceptions and to increase women's enrollment in STEM fields, action must be taken against gender gap since the early school years. As the unsupportive culture extends throughout time and hinders women's careers, supportive programs must be developed and closely modified and monitored for extended periods. Apart from state welfare, any kind of relevant organizations, institutions, educational institutions and companies must take action or expand and strengthen the action it has already developed.

Chan, V. (20179 October). Four Stories That Show Science Still Has A Gender Problem. Huffington Post
<https://tinyurl.com/4b5smv2b>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Cheng, E. (2019, August 29). Breaking down the barriers to gender equality. University of Technology Sydney (UTS)
<https://tinyurl.com/2hdz9hrb>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Executive Office of the President (2013). Women and girls in STEM: A gender gap to innovation. U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, August 2011
<https://tinyurl.com/3ew63ab7>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Gallogly, R. (2022). STEM isn't just a boy thing. Verizon.com
<https://tinyurl.com/4mfdypsa>, Retrieved 15 February 2022

Kong, S.M., Carroll, K.M., Lundberg, D.J., Omura, P., Lepe, B.A. (2020). Reducing gender bias in STEM. *MIT Science Policy Review*, 1, 55-63
<https://tinyurl.com/4bmk2tk6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

MMM, Million Women Mentors, About us (2022). Millionwomenmentors.org
<https://tinyurl.com/2s4ak4mn>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Office of the spokesperson (2013, March). Advancing the Status of Women and Girls Around the World. Washington, D.C: U.S. Department of State
<https://tinyurl.com/2p9x8vcf>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Smith, K., Arlotta, P. Watt, F. (2015). Seven actionable strategies for advancing women in science, engineering, and medicine. *Cell Stem Cell* 16, 221–224

Doi.10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.012

Toh, M. (2018, 19 January). These countries offer the most generous maternity leave. CNN Business

<https://tinyurl.com/3cfh5xb3>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Warren, K. (2018, 15 May). Here's what maternity leave looks like around the world. Insider

<https://tinyurl.com/3fdjyuch>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Welsh, J. (2013, 16 October). These Are The 7 Things Keeping Women Out of Science Careers. Insider

<https://tinyurl.com/2sd4t4zc>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

WISE, Why Wise (2022). Wisecampaign.org.uk

<https://tinyurl.com/5yd8mtb6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Local, National, European and International Organization

Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) (2022). Communications of the ACM. www.acm.org

<https://tinyurl.com/38fwvdt5>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Anagnostou, D., & Avlona, N (2019). *The European Union and gender equality in research and higher education: A view from Greece*. Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIMEP)

<https://tinyurl.com/39yhzbxxy>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Eit RawMaterials (2021, 16 November). Women and girls in STEM Forum advance the european agenda on gender equality in STEM. European Institute of Innovation and Technology (EIT)

<https://tinyurl.com/y9x9cd2n>. Retrieved 15 February 2021

Εθνικό Κέντρο Τεκμηρίωσης (ΕΚΤ) (2020). *Η συμμετοχή των γυναικών στην έρευνα και ανάπτυξη στην Ελλάδα*. Έκδοση 2020. Αθήνα: Εθνικό Κέντρο Τεκμηρίωσης και Ηλεκτρονικού Περιεχομένου

<https://tinyurl.com/yckze58f>. Retrieved February 2022

EU platforms on women and science (2022). European Platform of Women Scientists (EPWS) <https://tinyurl.com/4fnvdvs8>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Directorate-General for Research and Innovation (2018). *She figures*. European Commission, Publications Office, 2019

<https://tinyurl.com/2p9dx248>, Retrieved 15 February 2022

Eurostat: 4 out of 5 ICT workers are men, women workers are lagging (2021, 20 September). SchengenVisainfo news. SchengenVisainfo.com

<https://tinyurl.com/2xttrkee>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Greek Women in STEM, About us (2022). greekwomeninstem.com/gr

<https://tinyurl.com/2p8jnxk4>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Killen, M. (2021, 16 December). Tech figures call for greater involvement of women at all innovation stages. EUROACTIV

<https://tinyurl.com/fxyerxdj>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

MMM, Million Women Mentors, About us (2022). Millionwomenmentors.org

<https://tinyurl.com/2s4ak4mn>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES), Institute of Educational Sciences (IES) (2016). Digest of Educational Statistics 2015 (51st Edition). USA, Washington, DC

<https://tinyurl.com/477eccty>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES) (2020). Survey of earned doctorates. Tables 6, 14, 16, 29, 43, 45, 52 54, 55 up to 71. USA, Alexandria, VA: National Science Foundation

<https://tinyurl.com/45r95e9r>. Retrieved 15 February 2020

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2014). Higher Education in Science and Engineering (Chapter 2)

<https://tinyurl.com/5n7pxamy>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

National Science Foundation (NSF) (2022). The state of US Science and Engineering 2022

<https://tinyurl.com/2p82r8mx>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Noonan, R. (2027). Women in STEM: 2017 update. U.S. Department of Commerce

<https://tinyurl.com/2nc6fv5p>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

STEM WOMEN, About us (2022). www.stemwomen.com

<https://tinyurl.com/4vtxw7ph>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI) (2021, 11 February). Women in STEM: Promoting women's participation in science in Africa

<https://tinyurl.com/3erje8d4>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Subcommittee on Research and Technology Hearing. A Review of sexual harassment and misconduct in science. Committee on Science, Space, and Technology (2018)

<https://tinyurl.com/a8t3phv6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), (2017). Cracking the code: girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). Education 2030

<https://tinyurl.com/m5tpdmfu>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), (2017). Revolutions. Records of the General Conference, 39th Session, Paris- 30 Oct.-14 Nov. Vol. 1

<https://tinyurl.com/yv6fvw5k>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

United Nations (UN) Women (2022). In focus: International Day of women and girls in science, 9 February 2022

<https://tinyurl.com/ya4es3yw>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

United Nations (UN) News (2021). Women and girls belong in Science, declares UN Chief

<https://tinyurl.com/3hda5ks7>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Why Europe's girls aren't studying STEM (2016). Microsoft global diversity and inclusion report

<https://tinyurl.com/t9fzvwxw>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

WISE, Why Wise (2022). Wisecampaign.org.uk

<https://tinyurl.com/5yd8mtb6>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Women in STEM (2020, 4 August). CATALYST
<https://tinyurl.com/yck2hn6u>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Women in Science (2022). Horizon, the EU research & innovation magazine. European Commission
<https://tinyurl.com/2p97hcee>. Retrieved 15 February 2022

Wstem (2022). wstemproject.eu
<https://tinyurl.com/2pr5a5bu>. Retrieved 15 February 2021

Women in science and engineering (2021, 10 February). Eurostat. European Commission
<https://tinyurl.com/38wudapc>. Retrieved 15 February 2022